

# STUDIES ON FIBER YIELD OF DIFFERENT GENOMIC GROUPS OF BANANA

M. Yamini Divya\*, B. V. K. Bhagavan<sup>1</sup>, M. Muthyala Naidu<sup>2</sup> and P. Subbaramamma

\*Department of Horticulture, College of Horticulture, Venkataramannagudem (Andhra Pradesh), India. <sup>1</sup>Principal Scientist, Horticultural Research Station, Kovvur (Andhra Pradesh), India. <sup>2</sup>Senior Scientist, Horticultural Research Station, Darsi (Andhra Pradesh), India.

#### Abstract

An experiment "studies on evaluation of different genomic groups of banana (*Musa paradisiaca* L.) for fiber extraction and its quality" was conducted at Horticultural Research Station, Kovvur (A.P), India. Maximum fiber recovery percentage was recorded in KovvurBontha (ABB) (0.788) followed by KarpuraChekkarakeli (AAB) (0.676) whereas maximum fiber extractable pseudostem percentage was recorded in KovvurBontha (ABB) (64.66), followed by FHIA-3 hybrid (60.67) and the highest fiber yield was recorded in KovvurBontha (ABB) 302.682 Kg ha<sup>-1</sup> followed by KarpuraChakkerakeli 258.620 Kg ha<sup>-1</sup>.

Key words : Banana, fiber yield characters, genomic groups.

## Introduction

Banana (Musa paradisiaca L.) is one of the most important fruit crops, grown in both tropical and subtropical regions of the world. It belongs to the family Musaceae. In India, banana is considered as "Kalpatharu" because each and every part of the plant is used for specific purposes. India is the largest producer of banana with a share of 32.8% in total global production. The major banana growing states in India are TamilNadu, Gujarat, Maharashtra, Andhra Pradesh, Orissa, West Bengal and Assam. Andhra Pradesh ranks fourth place both in production and productivity. In Andhra Pradesh, it is widely grown in East Godavari, West Godavari, Guntur, Vizianagaram, Kurnool, Ananthapur and Kadapadistricts. Banana farming generates huge quantities of biomass in the form of pseudostem, leaves, suckers etc, which goes as waste due to lack of availability of suitable technology for its commercial utilization. On an average, banana produces 60-80 tonnes of pseudostem/ha. The production of Synthetic and other natural fibers are reduced by the extraction of fiber from banana pseudostem. About 1.5 million tons of dry banana fibers are produced from the outer sheath of pseudostem annually. With an increasing demand for Banana fibers both in Indian and International markets, the acreage and production are expected to increase in the coming years,

thus generating more of the pseudostem biomass waste. The increase in area under banana cultivation, produces enormous amount of biomass is regarded as the major source of natural fibers in the near future (Jarman, 1977). All banana varieties are suitable for fiber extraction (Mohapatra *et al.*, 2010). Though, fiber can be extracted from all cultivars of banana, the fiber yield varies with genomic status, ploidy level and stage of maturity. The fresh banana plant yields about 0.6% to 1.0% fiber depending on the variety used and method of extraction followed (Uma *et al.*, 2002). In India, every year crores of rupees are going waste due to lack of awareness among the rural people as well as unavailability of suitable technology for fiber extraction.

#### **Materials and Methods**

The investigation on "Studies on evaluation of different genomic groups of banana (*Musa paradisiaca* L.) for fiber extraction and its quality" was carried out at the Horticultural Research Station, Kovvur, West Godavari district of Andhra Pradesh, India during 2015-16 with four banana cultivars *viz.*, Grand Naine (AAA), KarpuraChekkarakeli (AAB), Kovvur Bontha (ABB) and FHIA-3 (AABB). The experiment was conducted in factorial completely randomized block design with three replications. Biometrical observation on fiber yield attributing characters were recorded and statistically analysed.

<sup>\*</sup>Author for correspondence : E-mail : naidumalla65@gmail.com

# **Results and Discussion**

It is evident from table 1 that among fiber extraction treatments, fiber recovery percentage was highest (1.172)in  $(C_{\lambda})$  fiber extracted with machine followed by fiber extracted with  $(C_2)$  0.5% NaOH (0.466%) and lowest fiber recovery percentage (0.328) was recorded in fiber extracted with 0.75% NaOH. The similar results were reported by Preethi and Balakrishna Murthy (2013) in banana. Among different varieties tested, KovvurBontha (0.788) showed highest fiber recovery percentage followed by KarpuraChakkerakeli (V<sub>2</sub>) 0.676 per cent and Grand Naine (0.541) varieties, whereas the fiber recovery percentage was minimum (0.293) in FHIA-3  $(V_{\star})$  banana hybrid. The variation in fiber recovery percentage among different genomic groups of banana could be attributed to variation in genetic constitution of genotypes. The results are in conformity with earlier findings of Uma et al. (2005) and Preethi and Balakrishna Murthy (2013) in banana. Among the interactions, the fiber recovery percentage was more in treatment combination of fiber extracted with machine in variety KovvurBontha 1.663 ( $V_2C_4$ ) followed by fiber extracted with machine in variety KarpuraChakkerakeli 1.260  $(V_2C_4)$  and fiber extracted with machine in variety Grand

Naine 1.130 ( $V_1C_4$ ). The lowest fiber recovery percentage (0.140) was observed in ( $V_4C_3$ ) fiber extracted with 0.75% NaOH in FHIA-3 hybrid.

It is evident from table 2 that significant differences were observed among different genomic groups of banana for fiber extractable pseudostem percentage. The KovvurBontha (ABB) recorded the highest fiber extractable pseudostem percentage (64.66) followed by FHIA-3 hybrid (60.67) and KarpuraChakkerakeli (59.62) variety. The lowest fiber extractable pseudostem percentage was observed in variety Grand Naine (AAA) (58.03). The difference in fiber extractable pseudostem percentage among the genotypes could be attributed to the genetic constitution of the genotypes. Fiber extraction was not possible from the entire banana pseudostem, only 9-10 layers of banana sheaths are fit for extraction of the fiber. The results are in conformity with the earlier results obtained by Uma et al. (2002) in screening of banana germplasm suitability for fiber production in 66 accessions.

It is evident from table 3 that among treatments, fiber yield was significantly highest in (472.360 Kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) in fiber extracted with (C<sub>4</sub>) machine followed by C<sub>2</sub> (0.5% NaOH) and C<sub>1</sub> (0.25% NaOH) 194.727 Kg ha<sup>-1</sup> and

	Fiber Recovery (%)							
Treatments	Varieties							
	Grand Naine (V <sub>1</sub> )	KarpuraChekkarakeli (V <sub>2</sub> )	KovvurBontha (V <sub>3</sub> )	FHIA-3 (V <sub>4</sub> )	Mean			
0.25% NaOH (C <sub>1</sub> )	0.317	0.447	0.397	0.170	0.333			
0.5% NaOH (C <sub>2</sub> )	0.430	0.583	0.620	0.230	0.466			
0.75% NaOH (C <sub>3</sub> )	0.287	0.413	0.470	0.140	0.328			
Machine extraction (C <sub>4</sub> )	1.130	1.260	1.663	0.633	1.172			
Mean	0.541	0.676	0.788	0.293				
Factors	SE(m)±		CD at 5%					
V	0.028		0.080					
С	0.028		0.080					
V×C		0.055	0.161					

Table 1 : Effect of different methods of fiber extraction on fiber recovery percentage in different genomic groups of banana.

 
 Table 2 : Fiber extractable pseudostem percentage in different genomic groups of Banana.

Variety	Fiber extractable pseudostem (%)		
Grand Naine (AAA)	58.03		
KarpuraChekkarakeli (AAB)	59.62		
KovvurBontha (ABB)	64.66		
FHIA-3 (AABB)	60.67		
SEm±	0.613		
CDat 5%	1.855		

145.245 Kg ha<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. The fiber yield was low (144.661 Kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) in fiber extracted with 0.75% NaOH. The fiber yield was more with machine extraction than with NaOH (0.25%, 0.5% and 0.75%). Similar results were reported by Uma *et al.* (2007) in banana. The fiber yield was more with 0.5% NaOH than with 0.75% NaOH. It could be attributed to removal of gum and cellulose from fiber polymer system by using excess alakali as reported by Ghosh (1988) in pineapple leaf fiber and Kundu *et al.* (1995) in ramie. Among different varieties

	Fiber yield (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )						
Treatments			Varieties				
	V <sub>1</sub>	V <sub>2</sub>	V <sub>3</sub>	V <sub>4</sub>	Mean		
0.25 % NaOH (C <sub>1</sub> )	158.493	159.210	167.742	95.535	145.245		
0.5 % NaOH (C <sub>2</sub> )	211.887	219.980	224.380	122.660	194.727		
0.75 % NaOH (C <sub>3</sub> )	123.907	173.963	205.650	75.123	144.661		
Machine extraction (C <sub>4</sub> )	460.100	481.325	612.957	335.060	472.360		
Mean	238.597	258.620	302.682	157.095			
Factors	SE(m)±		CD at 5%				
V	6.9769		20.1036				
С	6.9769		20.1036				
V×C	13.9538		40.207				

 Table 3 : Effect of different methods of fiber extraction on fiber yield in different genomic groups of banana.

tested, the highest fiber yield was recorded in  $(V_2)$  Kovvur Bontha (ABB) 302.682 Kg ha<sup>-1</sup>, followed by  $(V_2)$ KarpuraChakkerakeli (258.620 Kg ha-1) and Grand Naine (238.597 Kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) varieties. Lowest fiber yield was recorded in  $(V_{A})$  FHIA-3 hybrid (157.095 Kg ha<sup>-1</sup>). It is inferred that 'B' genomic group might have contributed more fiber production due to presence of more (64.66%)percentage of fiber extractable pseudostem in Kovvur Bontha variety. The ABB and AAB genomic groups were more suitable for fiber extraction. The similar results were reported by Brew Baker and Umali (1995) in Musa balbisiana and Musa textilis (B and T genomes); Uma et al. (2005) in banana. The ABB and AAB genomic groups were more suitable for fiber extraction to obtain more yield. Among interactions, the treatment combination, extraction of fiber with machine in KovvurBontha variety recorded significantly the highest fiber yield 612.957 Kg ha<sup>-1</sup> ( $V_3C_4$ ) followed by varieties KarpuraChakkerakeli 481.325 Kg ha<sup>-1</sup> (V<sub>2</sub>C<sub>4</sub>) and extraction of fiber with machine in Grand Naine 460.100 Kg ha<sup>-1</sup> (V<sub>1</sub>C<sub>4</sub>). The lowest fiber yield (75.123 Kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) was observed in  $(V_{A}C_{2})$  extraction of fiber with 0.75 per cent NaOH in FHIA-3.

## Conclusion

Based on the results obtained, it could be concluded that fiber extraction with machine in KovvurBontha (ABB) showed highest fiber yield (612.957 Kg ha<sup>-1</sup> followed by KarpuraChakkerakeli (AAB) indicating that B genomic group might have contributed for more fiber production.

#### References

Brewbaker and Umali (1995). Banana and Plantain, Chapter-6. In: *Biodiversity in Trust* (Eds) J.P.Horry, R.Ortis, E.Arnaud, J.H.Crouch, R.S.B.Ferris, D.R.Jones, N.Mateo, C.Pica and D.Vuylsteke. Cambridge University Press, New York.673.

- Ghosh, S. K., S. K. Pandey and A. Dey (1988). Tensile behaviour and processing of bleached yarn from pineapple leaf fibre. *Indian Journal of Textile Research*, **13** : 17-20.
- Jarman, C. G. (1977). Banana fibre- A review of its properties and small scale extraction and processing. *Tropical Science*, **19**:173-185.
- Kundu, P. K., R. Sarmah and C. R. Sarkar (1995). Physico chemical nature of ramie at different stages of growth. *Indian Journal of Fiber and Textile Research*, 20(3):165.
- Mohapatra, D., S. Mishra and N. Sutar (2010). Banana and its by-product utilization : an overview. *Journal Scientific & Industrial Research*, **69** : 323-329.
- Preethi, P. and G. Balakrishna Murthy (2013). Physical and Chemical properties of Banana Fiber Extracted from Commercial Banana Cultivars Grown in Tamilnadu State. *Agrotechnology*. S11:008.doi: 10.4172/2168-9881.S11-008.
- Uma, S., S. Kalpana, M. S. Saraswathi, P. Durai and V. Kumar (2007). Evaluation of culinary *Musa* germplasm for fibre yield and quality. *Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences*, 77(9): 553-557.
- Uma, S., S. Kalpana and S. Sathiyamoorthy (2002). Project report of Physico-chemical and structural characteristics of banana pseudostem fibre.National Research Centre for Banana, Thiruchirapalli.
- Uma, S., S. Kalpana, S. Sathiyamoorthy and V. Kumar (2005). Evaluation of commercial cultivars of banana cultivars of banana (*Musa* spp.) for their suitability for the fibre industry. *Plant Genetic Resource Newsletter*, **142** : 29-35.